

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/695,332	10/25/2000	Michael O. Okoroafor	1555P1	1488	
24959	7590 01/25/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
PPG INDUSTRIES INC SERGENT, RABON			RABON A		
ONE PPG PL	UAL PROPERTY DEPT .ACE		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
PITTSBURG	H, PA 15272		1711		
			DATE MAILED: 01/25/200	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			M
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
,	09/695,332	OKOROAFOR ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Rabon Sergent	1711	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeared for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	e correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repleif NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	I36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be by within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) of will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the, cause the application to become ABANDO	timely filed lays will be considered timely, om the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
 Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 C This action is FINAL. Since this application is in condition for alloward closed in accordance with the practice under B 	s action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, p		
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-119 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-119 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplicated any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Stion is required if the drawing(s) is defined to the drawing(s).	see 37 CFR 1.85(a). Objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d)).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicative documents have been received in Rule 17.2(a)).	ation No ved in this National Stage	
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summa Paper No(s)/Mail 5) Notice of Informa 6) Other:		
Potent and Trademark Office			

1. Claim 50 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The first line of claim 50 is incomplete; therefore, the claim is incapable of being understood.

2. Claims 1-119 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

Applicants have failed to provide support for claiming that the polymerizate is non-elastomeric. The examiner has reviewed the specification and finds no support for the amendments to independent claims 1, 35, and 69. Furthermore, it follows that applicants have failed to adequately define what is meant by "non-elastomeric" and have failed to delineate how or what properties are governed by the language. It is noted that applicants have disclosed at page 22, line 13 that flexibilizing additives may be incorporated within the composition; therefore, it is logical to conclude that applicants envisioned the compositions having a degree of flexibility or elasticity. This position serves to reinforce the position that the meaning of applicants' amended language is unclear, since "non-elastomeric" excludes all elastomeric properties, such as flexibility or elasticity.

Application/Control Number: 09/695,332 Page 3

Art Unit: 1711

3. Claims 1-119 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention.

All polythiourethanes have some degree of flexibility or elasticity; however, applicants have failed to provide enablement for the production of polythiourethanes lacking all elastomeric properties. By teaching that flexibilizing additives may be utilized within the composition at page 22 of the specification, applicants have in fact taught how to enhance elastomeric properties, rather than eliminate them.

- 4. The prior art rejection has been withdrawn in view of applicants' arguments and amendment.
- 5. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

Art Unit: 1711

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to R. Sergent at telephone number (571) 272-1079.

RABON SERGENT PRIMARY EXAMINER

R. Sergent January 21, 2005